Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 15th. 2008 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed October 4th, 2007 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. A copy of "DVD-Video Format Book Specification" (listed as # 136 on PTO-1449 form) has not been provided. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed May 15th, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues (see Remarks page 11) that, "Ginter teaches at most occasional reporting of consumer usage ('from time to time') and does not teach receiving information identifying the video item is viewed and at least one distribution agent associated with the video item viewed in response to to each time a video item

09/781,679 Art Unit: 2623

if viewed". The examiner respectfully disagrees. Ginter discloses that metering and usage information can be reported to server in each time a video is played (see [0376]) and further that such information may be communicated in real time or periodically using secure communications between the subsystems (see [0146]). Therefore Ginter teaches the step of reporting usage information in response to each time a video item is played.

Applicant's arguments stating (see Remarks, page 12) that, "Ginter provides several examples of 'rules and controls" however "applicants are unable to discern from any disclosure or suggestion in Ginter of a multitude of data frames having a specified security code or feature nor of a circuit to prevent the playback device from outputting data frames not having the specified security code or feature" have been noted. Ginter discloses that object containers can contain rules and controls (i.e. security code) (see [0400], [1714]). Examiner further notes that containers are transmitted over communications network as data packets and that video content maybe of MPEG format. Therefore over the course of transmission of a content, a plurality of data comprising a multitude of frames are transmitted, wherein the plurality of packets comprise the specified rules and controls in the content container. Accordingly, Ginter discloses a multitude of data frames having a specified security code or feature, wherein the ROS circuit prevent usage of data without associate rules and controls.

For the reasons stated above, the rejection is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 41-42, 44, 46-49, 51-52, 60-68, 70-76, and 100-106, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ginter et al. (US Pre Grant Pub. 20040054630).

With regards to claim 41, Ginter discloses a video distribution method performed by a video distribution method, comprising:

Receiving video content from a content provider (see [0147]);

Providing player devices at remote viewing locations (electronic appliances under control of VDE, see [0081], [0450]), said players devices configured for reading, decoding (see [0450]), decompressing (see [0478]) and watermarking (see [0146], fingerprinting maybe performed in the user's local VDE installation subsystem), the player device configured for communicating with the video distribution system to permit the player devices to notify the system when a

particular video item is viewed using any one of the player devices (see [0376]). Ginter additionally discloses that metering and usage information can be reported to server in each time a video is played (see [0376]) and further that such information may be communicated in real time or periodically using secure communications between the subsystems (see [0146]). Accordingly, the server (and other VDE subsystems) can establish communication connections with the player device;

Effecting the distribution of video disks to the remote viewing locations, the video disks including a plurality of video items recorded in an encoded and compressed format designed to be viewed using only the player devices (see [0126] and [0147]), information is encrypted such that only the authorized party can decrypt it and hence decode it for viewing); and

After a video item is viewed by a consumer using one of the plurality of player devices at a remote viewing location (see [0450]), receiving from the particular one of the player devices, information (administrative object containing header 802 and audit information) identifying the video item viewed and at least one distribution agent associated with the video item viewed (see [0989], [1022]). As stated above, Ginter discloses that metering and usage information can be reported to server in real time using secure communications between the subsystems (see [0146]). Ginter therefore further anticipates the step of receiving the said information "in response to each time a video item is viewed".

With regards to claim 60, Ginter discloses a system for distributing recorded digital data comprising:

09/781,679 Art Unit: 2623

At least one playback device for playing the at least one copy of digital data recording, wherein the at least one copy includes data identifying a distribution agent associated with the at least one copy (see [0416], [0989], [1022]); wherein the at least one playback device comprises an identification mechanism to identify contents of and the distribution agent associated with the at least one copy of the recording (see [0450], [1022]), wherein the at least one playback device is configured for reading, decoding (see [0450]), decompressing (see [478]), and watermarking (see [146]), and for communicating with a video distribution system to permit the playback device to notify the system when a particular recording is viewed (see [0376], [0146]), and wherein at the least one copy includes a plurality of video items recorded in an encoded and compressed format designed to be viewed using only the play back device (see [0126], i.e. authorized VDE receiver); and

A transmitting mechanism configured to transmit from the at least one playback device to the system, information identifying the contents of and the distribution agent associated with the at least one copy of the recording played by the at least one playback device (see [0989], [1022]). The VDE system associates rules and controls with content and prevents the content from being accessed unless the set of rules and controls is available (see [0399]). Ginter additionally discloses that rules and controls maybe transmitted with the content object container.

Examiner further notes that such containers are transmitted over communications network as data packets and that video content maybe of MPEG format (i.e. plurality of frames). Therefore over the course of transmission of a content, a plurality of

09/781,679 Art Unit: 2623

data packets comprising a multitude of frames are transmitted, wherein the plurality of packets comprise the specified rules and controls in the content container and the ROS further is responsible for correlating the control information to prevent unauthorized use of the elements (see [0586]). Therefore Ginter teaches the step of digital recording having a multitude data frames of a specified security code (control information), the playback device comprising a specified circuit (ROS) to prevent the at least one playback device from outputting data frames not having a specified security code.

With regards to claim 70, Ginter discloses a system for playing and for monitoring the playing of, digital recordings having given content authorized by a content provider, each of the recordings including data identifying an associated distribution agent (see [0416], [0989], [1022]), wherein the digital recording are distributed to consumers on video disks (optical discs, see [0013], [0189]) which includes a plurality of video items (see [0062], [2045]) recorded in an encoded and compressed format designed to be viewed by the consumer using only a playback device configured with proprietary circuitry (i.e. playback only at electronic appliances with VDE capabilities, see [0126], [0148])

At least one playback device for playing the at least one copy of the recording, wherein the at least one playback device comprises an identification mechanism to identify contents of and the distribution agent associated with the at least one copy of the recording (see [0450], [1022]), wherein the at least one playback device is configured for reading, decoding (see [0450]), decompressing

09/781,679 Art Unit: 2623

(see [478]), and watermarking (see [146]), and for communicating with a video distribution system to permit the playback device to notify the system when a particular recording is viewed (see [0376], [0146]).

A system controller configured to receive from the at least one playback device, information identifying the contents of and the distribution agent associated with the at least one copy of the recording played by the at least one playback device (see [0989], [1022]). The VDE system associates rules and controls with content and prevents the content from being accessed unless the set of rules and controls is available (see [0399]). The ROS further is responsible for correlating the control information to prevent unauthorized use of the elements (see [0586]). Therefore Ginter teaches the step of digital recording having a multitude of a specified security code (control information), the playback device comprising a specified circuit (ROS) to prevent the at least one playback device from outputting data frames not having a specified security code.

With regards to claim 100, Ginter discloses a system for playing and for monitoring the playing of, digital recordings having given content authorized by a content provider, each of the recordings including data identifying an associated distribution agent (see [0416], [0989], [1022]), wherein the digital recording are distributed to consumers on video disks (optical discs, see [0013], [0189]) which includes a plurality of video items (see [0062], [2045]) recorded in an encoded and compressed format designed to be viewed by the consumer using only a playback

09/781,679 Art Unit: 2623

device configured with proprietary circuitry (i.e. playback only at electronic appliances with VDE capabilities, see [0126], [0148])

At least one playback device for playing the at least one copy of the recording, wherein the at least one playback device comprises an identification mechanism to identify contents of and the distribution agent associated with the at least one copy of the recording (see [0450], [1022]), wherein the at least one playback device is configured for reading, decoding (see [0450]), decompressing (see [478]), and watermarking (see [146]), and for communicating with a video distribution system to permit the playback device to notify the system operator when a particular recording is viewed (see [0376]).

A transmitting mechanism configured to transmit from the at least one playback device to the system, information identifying the contents of and the distribution agent associated with the at least one copy of the recording played by the at least one playback device (see [0989], [1022]). The VDE system associates rules and controls with content and prevents the content from being accessed unless the set of rules and controls is available (see [0399]). The ROS further is responsible for correlating the control information to prevent unauthorized use of the elements (see [0586]). Therefore Ginter teaches the step of digital recording having a multitude of a specified security code (control information), the playback device comprising a specified circuit (ROS) to prevent the at least one playback device from outputting data frames not having a specified security code.

In regards to claim 42 the distribution agent (publishing house) makes copies of the digital recorded data delivered to the distribution agent as per the limits set forth by the content creator, wherein data maybe delivered on optical discs. See [0013], [0189], [0380], and [0388].

In regards to claims 44, 62, and, 101, Ginter discloses receiving (metering) information identifying the viewed item and distribution agent associated with the item at the system operator location at defined times. See [0146].

In regards to claims 46, Ginter discloses the step of charging the consumer based on the information received at the system operator location. See [0388]

In regards to claims 47, 74, and 103, Ginter discloses the step of charging the consumer a fee for each time the consumer plays the video data. See [0376]

In regards to claims 48, Ginter discloses a flexible metering scheme where a user can be charged each time a content is played or a user can be charged a single fee for accessing a content is played or a user can be charged a single fee for accessing a content unlimited times during a certain time duration. The user is charged a variable fee for accesses during that subscribed time duration and a pay per play fee for accessing outside that subscription period. See [1083].

In regards to claims 49, Ginter discloses:

The distribution agent is a retail store. See [0010], and [0257]

The consumer obtains identified copies of the video data at retail stores [0257] and subsequently returns the identified copies to the retail stores (i.e. user rents content object). See [1004], [2071]. Accordingly the method further comprises the step of

providing an identified copy of the video item to the consumer at the retail store and subsequently receiving the identified copies to the retail store.

In regards to claims 51, and 65, Ginter discloses the step of compensating the distribution agent for each time the video data distributed by the agent is paid. See [0256], [0376], [0388], and [1022]

In regards to claim 52, Ginter discloses the step of charging the distribution agent for recordings delivered to the distribution agent. See [0256], [0378]

In regards to claims 61, 71 and 73, Ginter discloses a receiving mechanism located at the system operator of the video distribution system configured to receive the information from the playback device and for using the information to determine compensation for the content provider and the distribution agents. See [0376], [0388], [1022].

In regards to claim 63, the receiving act comprises receiving information at the system operator location (clearinghouse) from playback devices (end users), the information identifying a copy of digital data recording and the distribution agents.

See [0146], [0989] and [1022]. Ginter further discloses that metering and usage information can be reported to server in real time (see [0146]). Ginter therefore further anticipates the step of receiving the said information "in response to the playback device playing a copy".

In regards to claims 64, Ginter discloses that the distribution network includes a plurality of retail stores. See [0010] and [0257]. Accordingly Ginter teaches a system wherein at least one copy is distributed in part through a retail store.

In regards to claims 66, 75 and 104, the playback device includes a specified circuit (VDE electronic appliances with rights operating system) that enables the playback device to play distributed copies of the recording. See [0458], [0459].

In regards to claims 68 and 106, the recorded digital data is a movie. See [0062].

In regards to claims, 67, 76 and 105, the digital data is recorded in a tangible medium and the tangible medium is an optical disc. See [0147].

In regards to claims 72, and 102, the receiving act comprises receiving information at the system operator location (clearinghouse) from playback devices (end users), the information identifying a copy of digital data recording and the distribution agents. See [0146], [0989] and [1022].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.
 Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginter et al. (US Pre Grant Pub. 2004/0054630) in view of Knight (US Pat. 6,243,350).

In regards to claim 50, Ginter does not disclose the step of distributing the recorded data to the consumer at no charge.

Knight teaches the step of distributing a plurality of movies to a user on a cartridge for free, wherein the user may obtain access the movies on the disk after making some type of payment. See column 58, lines 40-59.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system by distributing a disk comprising a plurality of movies to the user at no charge, and receive payments only when a movie is played. The motivation is to let the user obtain a library of movies at no charge, while charging the user only when content is used.

 Claims 57-58, 77-78, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginter et al. (US Pre Grant Pub. 2004/0054630) in view of Yuen et al. (US Pat. 6,147,715).

In regards to claims 57, and 77, Ginter does not disclose the step of providing the consumer with guides for identifying the multitude of copies of recorded data possessed by the consumer.

Yuen discloses the step of providing a guide (TIG) for identifying the plurality of recordings that are recorded in a storage medium. See abstract.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system by providing guide to identify the multitude copies of recorded data on a medium possessed by the consumer. The motivation is to

provide an on screen navigation directory for guiding the user with program selection.

In regards to claims 58 and 78, the system does not comprise the step of transmitting data to update the information in quides.

Examiner takes official notice that it is well known to transmit information for updating guides.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system by transmitting information to update the guides, in order to provide the user with the latest up to date information regarding the programs.

 Claim 59, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginter et al. (US Pre Grant Pub. 2004/0054630) in view of Yuen et al. (US Pat. 6,147,715), and Ward et al. (US Pre Grant Pub. 2005/0010949).

In regards to claim 59, the system does not disclose the step of updating guides with commercials based on the consumer's viewing of the played copies of digital data.

Ward et al. discloses the step of updating guides with commercials based on consumer's viewing habits, including played programs. See abstract, [0090], [0091] and [0306].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the system in view of Ward's teachings by updating commercials in the program guide based on consumer's viewing of played copies of

09/781,679 Art Unit: 2623

digital data. The motivation is to provide the consumers with advertisements that fit their viewing profile, thereby providing them advertisements they are likely to have interested in.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to USHA RAMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-7380. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 09/781,679 Art Unit: 2623 Page 16

/Chris Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623

/Usha Raman/